

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE KYCP0029USA 5566 10/711,567 09/24/2004 Chen Ou **EXAMINER** 27765 7590 06/23/2006 NORTH AMERICA INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY CORPORATION GRAYBILL, DAVID E P.O. BOX 506 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER MERRIFIELD, VA 22116 2822

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i></i>
Office Action Summary	10/711,567	OU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	David E. Graybill	2822	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address	
···	V IC CET TO EVOIDE 4 MONTH	(C) OD TUUDTY (20) DA	WC.
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tinwill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed the mailing date of this communic (C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>08 O</u>	ctober 2004.		
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	nce except for formal matters, pro	osecution as to the meri	ts is
closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application			
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r		
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc		Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct	ion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	jected to. See 37 CFR 1.1	21(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-15	2.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	)-(d) or (f).	
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	F,	, (=, =, (-,	
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.		
2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in Applicat	ion No	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	•	ed in this National Stage	<b>a</b>
application from the International Bureau	, ,,,		
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	∌d.	
Attachment(s)			
1)	4)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	5) 🔲 Notice of Informal F	Patent Application (PTO-152)	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)		

Application/Control Number: 10/711,567 Page 2

Art Unit: 2822

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Claims 1-8, drawn to a process, classified in class 438, subclass
 38.

II. Claims 9-19, drawn to a product, classified in class 257, subclass79.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process such as a process wherein the second temperature is not not lower than the melting point of the first group III element.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Art Unit: 2822

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art because of their recognized divergent subject matter, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the

Application/Control Number: 10/711,567 Page 4

Art Unit: 2822

prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a nonelected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

For information on the status of this application applicant should check PAIR: Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Alternatively, applicant may contact the File Information Unit at (703) 308-2733. Telephone status inquiries should not be directed to the examiner. See MPEP 1730VIC, MPEP 203.08 and MPEP 102.

Any other telephone inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David E. Graybill at (571) 272-1930. Regular office hours: Monday through Friday, 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m.

The fax phone number for group 2800 is (571) 273-8300.

David E. Graybill Primary Examiner Art Unit 2822

In E IM

Application/Control Number: 10/711,567 Page 5

Art Unit: 2822

D.G.

16-Jun-06